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SUMMARY

At the crossroads between Africa and Eurasia, Arabia is crucial to understanding global Pleistocene hominin dispersals (Groucutt et al., 2015), yet its rich Early Stone Age (ESA) record is patchily understood. Detailed investigation, of artefact-rich ESA localities, with associated palaeoenvironmental archives, are sorely needed to assess dispersal conditions and timings (Petraglia 2003). Discovered in 2015 Wadi Dabsa, Asir Province, southwestern Saudi Arabia, in the volcanic fields of the Harrat al Birk, yielded >900 ESA and MSA artefacts associated with tufa carbonate deposits (Foulds et al., In Press; Inglis et al., 2015). Yet while preliminary investigations proved the significant potential of Wadi Dabsa to inform on early hominin activity, in its environmental context, there remained a number of key unanswered questions: How are artefacts distributed across the basin? What can this tell us about early hominin behaviour? What is the relationship between the tufa and artefacts? When did the tufa form and what can it tell us about Arabian palaeoenvironments?

The project combined remote sensing, excavation, and archaeological and geomorphological survey to capitalise upon a rare opportunity to examine ESA, and MSA, behaviour in an environmental/landscape context, and its implications for hominin dispersals out of Africa. A multi-scalar geoarchaeological approach to understanding the locality, its landscape and the archaeology was undertaken, working in from the wider landscape to the area where the
highest number of basalt artefacts had been recorded in 2015 (Inglis et al., 2015). Fieldwork, undertaken over three weeks in January/February 2017 at Wadi Dabsa followed by a week of post-excavation artefact analysis in Asir Museum, Abha, achieved the stated objectives and provided a wealth of information on the Palaeolithic artefacts and their geomorphological and geological setting that will greatly add to our understanding of Palaeolithic landscapes in Saudi Arabia (Inglis et al., 2017).

Objectives achieved:
- Definition of landscape units within the Wadi Dabsa basin using remote sensing and satellite data, groundtruthed by field observations, enabling the development of a stratigraphic framework for landscape evolution and artefact visibility.
- Geomorphological survey, with specific focus on the tufa deposition and basalt, allowed development of hypotheses regarding the landscape setting of the main concentration of artefacts first observed in 2015 (Locality L0106/L0130).
- Collection of 1938 Palaeolithic artefacts from a 20 x 50 m extension of L0106, with a further 399 lithic artefacts recorded in situ across L0130 (50 x 60m), comprised a recorded assemblage over the two seasons of 3226 artefacts, many with ESA or MSA affinities, and one of the richest Palaeolithic assemblages recorded to date in SW Saudi Arabia.
- Detailed landform and surface mapping of the L0106/L0130 artefact collection grids at L0130 furthering the understanding of artefact distribution and geomorphological controls acting upon it.
- Comprehensive post-excavation analysis of the artefacts collected from L0106, coupled with in situ recording of the L0130 artefacts, allowed consideration of the assemblage in relation to those from neighbouring regions.
- Excavations of two test pits containing artefacts in a unit overlying the tufa, and the discovery of a tufa-encased handaxe indicated complex artefact-tufa relationships, perhaps resulting from the extended period of time spanned by the activity at the site and/or multiple phases of tufa deposition.
- Collection of samples for $^{234}$U–$^{230}$Th (tufa) and $^{39}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar (basalt lava flows) to provide a future chronological framework for landscape development the archaeology within it. The tufa samples will also provide, through isotopic analyses, palaeoenvironmental information.

Key Results

Landscape Evolution
Fieldwork at Wadi Dabsa clarified the preliminary desktop mapping of landscape units using remote sensing data, and provided a solid framework to begin to interpret the artefacts recorded from the basin within a dynamic landscape context. In particular, groundtruthing of the landscape stratigraphy and the relationship of the tufa to the various basalt flows identified remotely appears to have confirmed that the basin was largely formed prior to tufa deposition, and that tufa was forming in, and filling, a basin whose underlying morphology was controlled by the basalt flows. It is likely, however, that there was more than one phase of tufa deposition in the basin, and that deposition of tufa and basalt may well have overlapped. Future absolute dating of the tufa and basalt flows using the samples collected in 2017 should test this hypothesis, and, as well as providing a robust landscape context for the archaeological record within the basin, will also address wider debates around regional palaeoclimates and the timing of volcanism in the Red Sea region.

The Geomorphological Context of the L0106/L0130 artefacts.
Observations from the 2017 field season have revealed complex relationships between the artefacts and tufa formation. A tufa-coated handaxe discovered in Grid L0130 showed that a period of tufa deposition occurred after manufacture and deposition of the artefact. Yet in the test pits excavated in Grid L0106, artefacts lie within a sediment layer directly overlying the tufa, suggesting that deposition of the artefacts post-dates the formation of the tufa. Such variability in tufa-artefact relationships is, however, unsurprising given the potentially long time span of activity represented by the presence of ESA, MSA and LSA artefacts at the site, and the evidence we have so far for complexity of tufa formation within the basin. The lithic artefact assemblages and the tufa are probably both the product of multiple phases of human activity and tufa deposition across the basin, phases that potentially occurred over extended periods of time, indicating that the basin itself was a consistent focus of activity throughout the Palaeolithic.

Geomorphological mapping and observations of the artefact distributions across the L0106/L0130 grids at the metre-scale has allowed the examination of whether the artefact distribution at the grid scale is influenced by geomorphic processes that control the preservation, exposure and visibility of artefacts, or by hominin activity. It appears that the former is the case at this locality: there are low counts of artefacts on the landform units most likely to exhibit surface conditions that inhibit the visibility of artefacts, and the richest grid squares in terms of artefact number were recorded within the Crest landform unit, the unit which preserves the greatest extent of the artefact-bearing sediment layer, and which is being eroded and deflated, continually exposing further artefacts. These observations highlight the complex interplay of the processes that preserve, expose, and render artefacts visible to survey, and which need to be understood at each scale of observation whilst undertaking spatial analyses of the archaeological record of any time period.

**Lithic Analyses**

The assemblage from the Wadi Dabsa comprises a mixture of ESA/Acheulean pieces including a collection of bifaces, large cutting tools and cleavers, as well as an extensive range of MSA/Middle Palaeolithic pieces including both an extensive collection of manufacturing debitage as well as retouched pieces. All of these materials appear to be made on locally available volcanic rocks, that can be accessed, at present, both at the site itself and throughout the Wadi Dabsa basin. In a similar fashion to sites examined elsewhere in southwestern Saudi Arabia, the abundance of locally available raw materials would appear to have created a situation in which hominins did not need to maximise the efficiency with which they used materials. As a result, many of the pieces are simply retouched with just the working tips of bifaces, for example, finely retouched to produce rectilinear edges and the buts remaining often either cortical or very simply retouched. There are a few examples of lithic materials that are not obviously occurring in the local basin (including quartz, chert and indurated shale) and these pieces also show greater preparation and retouch. It seems likely at the moment that these pieces represent the discard of later, post MSA hominins. Likewise, there are a few examples of tool types (endscrapers and burins) that are normally associated with Upper Palaeolithic assemblages in Europe, although such tools have been found in MSA assemblages in Africa.

Beyond the Wadi Dabsa basin, the lithic assemblage itself contains pieces that are similar to examples found during the course of the fieldwork by the UK-Saudi team since 2013 (Bailey et al., 2015; Inglis et al., 2014; Sinclair et al., In Review), but the quantity and, specifically, the quality of the technological information present at Wadi Dabsa is more extensive and complete in sequence than at any other site located so far by the team. The variability in evident skill among the examples of prepared cores also suggests that the assemblage represents a cross-
section of ages and experience in lithic manufacture; this is unique to this site, and will be a major focus of investigation and publication over the coming months.

**Conclusions and Future Work**

The 2017 field season, whilst very successful in achieving its stated objectives, has only just begun to realise the potential of the Wadi Dabsa basin for informing on ESA and MSA hominin behaviour. The geomorphological work at the site, particularly the mapping and sampling of the tufa, has proven its complexity, and indicated that there is much more that can be done in terms of survey to understand the geomorphological controls and landscape context of the rich archaeological record of this region.

Future work in the Dabsa basin must focus on two main issues. The first is the further understanding of the timing and conditions of tufa deposition within the basin. Detailed mapping using high-resolution remote sensing and field observations, coupled with detailed stratigraphic and microscopic analysis of the tufa facies should be used to unravel the nature and sequence of the depositional environments. This stratigraphic framework, coupled with future absolute dating and isotopic analyses, would then be used to target further palaeoenvironmental investigation, and chronological constraint of, the tufa deposition in the basin.

The second area is the expansion of the surveyed areas to further understand the L0106/130 assemblage in the context of the artefact distributions across the basin – is the density of artefacts at this location unusual, and if so, why might there be a concentration of artefacts deposited or preserved here? The 2015 transects, considered along with the low density of artefacts observed during geomorphological investigations across the basin, indicate that the number of artefacts at L0106/130 is unusually high. Further archaeological investigation of similar geomorphological settings to this assemblage around the basin, targeted through detailed geomorphological and tufa mapping, however, should be carried out to confirm that it represents an unusually dense concentration of artefacts, in order to understand the potential for the assemblage to inform on human activity at this location.
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